# Lower Bounds for Subset Cover Based Broadcast Encryption

Per Austrin Gunnar Kreitz

KTH - Royal Institute of Technology

Africacrypt '08, June 13



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

# Three Usage Scenarios

- Pay-per-view Euro 2008 on your cell phone
- BluRay copy protection
- Military radio communication



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

### What is Broadcast Encryption?

- The problem of establishing secure communication with a changing group of receivers
- One trusted sender, multiple receivers
- Network is a broadcast medium
- Berkovits 1991, Fiat and Naor 1994



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

### The Basic Principle

- Initialize the system by giving each user some private information
- Establish a message key (sometimes called group key), Km
- Broadcast content encrypted with Km



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

# The Basic Principle

- Initialize the system by giving each user some private information
- Establish a message key (sometimes called group key), Km
- Broadcast content encrypted with Km
- Updating the message key (depending on application)
  - When some number of members (possibly 1) have left/joined
  - At timed intervals
  - A combination of the above



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

#### Notation and Terminology

- *m* is the number of *members*
- r is the number of revoked users
- n = r + m is the number of *users*



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

#### The Naive Scheme



- One symmetric key for each user
- To establish message key  $K_m$ , broadcast  $K_m$  encrypted with each member's key



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

#### The Naive Scheme



- One symmetric key for each user
- To establish message key  $K_m$ , broadcast  $K_m$  encrypted with each member's key
- Example:  $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 4\}$
- Broadcast:  $E_{K_1}(K_m)$ ,  $E_{K_4}(K_m)$



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

#### The Naive Scheme



- One symmetric key for each user
- To establish message key  $K_m$ , broadcast  $K_m$  encrypted with each member's key
- Example:  $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, 4\}$
- Broadcast:  $E_{K_1}(K'_m), E_{K_2}(K'_m), E_{K_4}(K'_m)$



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

# Scheme parameters

- *b* is the *bandwidth* overhead
- s is the space required at users
- (We will ignore *time* to decrypt for this talk)



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

# Scheme parameters

- *b* is the *bandwidth* overhead (*m* for naive)
- s is the space required at users (1 for naive)
- (We will ignore time to decrypt for this talk)



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

# Subset Cover-based Broadcast Encryption

- Subset Cover is a principle for constructing Broadcast Encryption schemes
- Static family of sets of users
- Each set is associated with a key
- Only users in the subset know the key
- Naor, Naor, Lotspiech 2001



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

# Subset Cover (cont'd)

- To distribute a new group key
  - Compute a cover of the members (avoiding revoked users), using the subsets
  - 2 Encrypt message key  $K_m$  with subset key for each subset in cover
- Bandwidth is equal to cover size



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

## Subset Cover Example



• Each node is a key shared between users named in node



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

# Subset Cover Example



- Each node is a key shared between users named in node
- Example:  $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 4\}$
- Broadcast:  $\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{K}_{1,4}}\left(\mathcal{K}_{m}
  ight)$

Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

# Subset Cover Example



- Each node is a key shared between users named in node
- Example:  $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, 4\}$
- Broadcast:  $E_{K_{1,2}}(K'_m), E_{K_4}(K'_m)$

Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

Key Derivation



• Bandwidth of this scheme is 2



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes



- Bandwidth of this scheme is 2
- Space of this scheme is



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes



- Bandwidth of this scheme is 2
- Space of this scheme is



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes



- Bandwidth of this scheme is 2
- Space of this scheme is



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes



- Bandwidth of this scheme is 2
- Space of this scheme is 5



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes



- Bandwidth of this scheme is 2
- Space of this scheme is 4
- $K_{1,4} = PRG(K_1), K_{2,3} = PRG(K_3)$



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes



- Bandwidth of this scheme is 2
- Space of this scheme is 3
- $K_{1,4} = PRG(K_1), K_{2,3} = PRG(K_3)$



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes



- Bandwidth of this scheme is 2
- Space of this scheme is 3
- $K_{1,4} = PRG(K_1), K_{2,3} = PRG(K_3)$



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

#### Unique Predecessor-schemes

- Unique Predecessor (UP) schemes
- The indegree of each node is at most 1
- Models natural key derivation with PRG
- Called Sequential Key Derivation Pattern in Attrapadung, Komara, Imai 2003



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

### Unique Predecessor-schemes (cont'd)

- Allow any outdegree
- Allow any depth (inherently  $\leq n$ )
- Every singleton node must be present
- Key derivation graph will be a forest
- After normalization, there will be exactly n trees



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

#### An Easy Key Lemma

#### Lemma

Any Unique Predecessor scheme will have at most ns distinct subsets.



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

# An Easy Key Lemma

#### Lemma

Any Unique Predecessor scheme will have at most ns distinct subsets.

#### Proof-sketch

Adding a new subset means at least one user needs to store one more key, proof by induction



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

#### Performance of PRG-based Subset Cover schemes

Scheme	Bandwidth	Space	Authors
Subset Difference	2r – 1	$\mathcal{O}\left(\log^2 n\right)$	NNL01
Layered SD	4 <i>r</i> – 2	$\mathcal{O}\left(\log^{3/2} n\right)$	HS02
Stratified SD	2r - 1	$\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\log n)$	GST04
Punctured Intervals $(\pi)$	$r/c + \mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(poly\ n)$	JHCKLY05



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

#### Performance of PRG-based Subset Cover schemes

Scheme	Bandwidth	Space	Authors
Subset Difference	2r – 1	$\mathcal{O}\left(\log^2 n\right)$	NNL01
Layered SD	4 <i>r</i> – 2	$\mathcal{O}\left(\log^{3/2} n\right)$	HS02
Stratified SD	2r - 1	$\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\log n)$	GST04
Punctured Intervals $(\pi)$	$r/c + \mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(poly\ n)$	JHCKLY05

• Is  $\mathcal{O}(r)$  the best we can do?



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

#### Lower Bounds for Schemes Without Key Derivation

• 
$$s \ge \left(\frac{\binom{n}{r}^{1/b}}{b} - 1\right)/r$$
 by Luby and Staddon 98

• 
$$s \geq ({n \choose r}^{1/b} - 1)/r$$
 by Gentry et al. 06

• Proofs using the Sunflower lemma



Broadcast Encryption Subset Cover UP-schemes

#### Generic Lower Bound

- All broadcast encryption scheme need to encode the revoked subset
- (It is possible to test which users can decrypt correctly)
- This gives lower bound of  $\approx r \log n$  bits



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### Few Revoked Users

#### • For BluRay players, we can expect few revoked users (players)



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### Few Revoked Users

- For BluRay players, we can expect few revoked users (players)
- With polynomial space, worst case bandwidth will be  $\Omega(r)$  for "small" r



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### A Lower Bound for Small r

#### Theorem

Let  $c \ge 0$  and  $0 \le \delta < 1$ . Then, any UP-scheme with n users and space  $s \le n^c$  will, when the number of revoked users  $r \le n^{\delta}$ , require bandwidth

$$p \ge \frac{1-\delta}{c+1} \cdot r$$



(1)

Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### A Lower Bound for Small r

#### Theorem

Let  $c \ge 0$  and  $0 \le \delta < 1$ . Then, any UP-scheme with n users and space  $s \le n^c$  will, when the number of revoked users  $r \le n^{\delta}$ , require bandwidth

$$p \ge \frac{1-\delta}{c+1} \cdot r$$

#### Proof-sketch

Count the number of ways to pick up to b subsets, and compare to the number of ways to choose r revoked users



(1)

Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### How tight is the bound?

- Subset Difference has  $s = \log^2 n$ , b = 2r 1
- For  $r = \sqrt{n}$  our bound gives  $b \ge \frac{r}{2(1+o(1))}$
- Within a factor 4 + o(1)



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

# How strong is the bound?

- Our bound only applies to UP-schemes
- We do not place any restriction on decryption time
- Our bound applies when space is polynomial
- Generally, logarithmic or poly-logarithmic space is acceptable



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### Many revoked users

• In pay-per-view scenarios, we can expect (relatively) few members



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### Many revoked users

- In pay-per-view scenarios, we can expect (relatively) few members
- For m < n/6s, worst case bandwidth will be m (same as Naive scheme)</li>



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### A Lower Bound for Large r

#### Theorem

For any UP-scheme S and  $m \leq \frac{n}{6s}$ , there is a member set  $\mathcal{M}$  of size  $|\mathcal{M}| = m$  requiring bandwidth  $b = |\mathcal{M}|$ .



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

# A Lower Bound for Large r

#### Theorem

For any UP-scheme S and  $m \leq \frac{n}{6s}$ , there is a member set  $\mathcal{M}$  of size  $|\mathcal{M}| = m$  requiring bandwidth  $b = |\mathcal{M}|$ .

#### Proof-sketch

- Revoke users with to high outdegree of their singleton node
- Pick a non-revoked user to keep as member, revoke users so that only her singleton key is usable
- This step will revoke at most 3s users each time



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### How tight is the bound?

- There is a scheme with b < m when  $m > \lceil n/s \rceil$
- Partition the users into blocks of size ≤ s and let each pair in a block share a key
- Bound is tight within a factor 6



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### What's in the middle?

#### • For military communication, the number of members will vary



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### What's in the middle?

- For military communication, the number of members will vary
- For some r, bandwidth is at least  $n/1.89 \log_2 s$



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

# A Lower Bound for Arbitrarily Many Revoked Users

#### Theorem

Let  $\delta \in (0, 1]$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for every UP-scheme S with  $n > \frac{2\delta(1-\delta)}{\epsilon^2}$  there exists a set of users M of size  $\delta - 3\epsilon \le |M|/n \le \delta + \epsilon$  which requires bandwidth  $b \ge |M| \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\log(s/\epsilon)}$ 



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

# A Lower Bound for Arbitrarily Many Revoked Users

#### Theorem

Let  $\delta \in (0, 1]$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for every UP-scheme S with  $n > \frac{2\delta(1-\delta)}{\epsilon^2}$  there exists a set of users M of size  $\delta - 3\epsilon \le |M|/n \le \delta + \epsilon$  which requires bandwidth  $b \ge |M| \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\log(s/\epsilon)}$ 

#### **Proof-sketch**

- If the largest usable sets have size k, bandwidth will be  $\geq m/k$
- ullet Revoke each user with probability  $1-\delta$
- From each subset of size k + 1, revoke one more user
- Show that with positive probability, a sufficiently large number of members will remain



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary

#### How tight is the bound?

- There is a scheme with bandwidth b at most  $\left[\frac{n}{\log_2(s)}\right]$
- Partition the users into blocks of size  $\leq \log_2(s)$
- In each block, let every subset of users share a key
- Our bound is tight within a factor 1.89



Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? Summary



- Have shown lower bounds on bandwidth for a class of broadcast encryption schemes
- Bounds seem hard to sidestep without using more expensive key derivation techniques
- Bounds are tight up to small constants



Background Our Results	Few Revoked Users Many revoked users What's in the middle? <b>Summary</b>
---------------------------	--

Thank you!

